Subject No. 19.

Cow-slaughter.

Mr. Narasingappa, Taluk Member, Jagalur.

Summary of discussion.—A Regulation may be passed to prohibit the sinful act of slaughtering cows and bullocks for beef and hides.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

Subject No. 20.

Introduction of Rent Recovery Act.

Mr. Guru Row.
" Venkatasubbaiya.

Summary of discussion.—In Malnad the tenants or Shikmidars do not pay the rent due to Khatedars properly. Khatedars have therefore to resort to proceedings in Civil Courts for the recovery of rent due from their tenants This causes unnecessary trouble and loss to Khatedars and affords scope to defaulters to remove and utilize the crops before decrees are obtained against them. It is requested that the Rent Recovery Act which is in force in the Madras Presidency may be introduced into Mysore as no provision has been made in the Land Revenue Code in the matter.

Reply of Government.—*Vide* Subject 3.

Subject No. 21.

Amendment of the Local Fund Board Regulation.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Under the new Local Fund Board Regulation the people are not invested with any powers as in the British Territory, *viz* :—

(1) The election of members for the Local Fund Board should first be made at the head-quarters of the circles.

(2) Those who intend to become members of the Local Fund Board should first apply for it.

(3) Some at least of the members of the Local Fund Board should be the members of the Representative Assembly.

(4) The Government should nominate non-official member to the Taluk Board.

(5) The elected members of the Local Fund Board should elect the President and the Vice-President of the Board.

(6) Each Taluk Board should send up a member to represent it in the District Board.

(The above system is in existence in the British Territory.)

Reply of Government.—The rules as they stand do not require change at present.

Subject No. 22.

Amendment of the Land Revenue Code.

Mr Madappa of Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—According to the Land Revenue Code Section 54, the land revenue due on Government occupied land is the paramount charge on the holding. But many Judges are of opinion that it is doubtful whether that rule is applicable to Inam lands. As the Chief Court has decided that the revenue due to an Inamdar for an Inam land is not the paramount charge on the holding, it is prayed that the Section of the Code may be so amended as to make it applicable to Inam lands also.